

THIS MATERIAL MAY BE PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

**Request # 19413802****MAR 06, 2006****Email (PDF) To: doc.view@med.va.gov**

VA Sierra Nevada Health Care System
Medical Library - 142D (ILL)
1000 Locust Street
Reno, NV 89502

DOCLINE: Journal Copy

Title: Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine.
Title Abbrev: Cleve Clin J Med
Citation: 2000 Jun;67(6):450-1
Article: Shortcomings of coronary angiography.
Author: Fleming RM
NLM Unique ID: 8703441 Verify: PubMed
PubMed UI: 10860229
ISSN: 0891-1150 (Print)
Publisher: Cleveland Clinic Educational Foundation, Cleveland Oh
Copyright: Copyright Compliance Law
Authorization: LORI CONNEL
Need By: N/A
Maximum Cost: **Free**
Patron Name: FLEMING
Referral Reason: Not owned (title)
Library Groups: VALNET
Phone: 1.775.328-1250
Fax: 1.775.328-1754
Ariel: doc.view@med.va.gov
Comments: ***PLEASE FAX or SEND VIA E-MAIL***
Routing Reason: Routed to SDUWGN in Serial Routing - cell 1
Received: Mar 07, 2006 (12:33 PM EST)
Lender: Wegner Health Science Information Center/ Sioux Falls/ SD
USA (SDUWGN)

This material may be protected by copyright law (TITLE 17,U.S. CODE)

Bill to: NVURVA

VA Sierra Nevada Health Care System
Medical Library - 142D (ILL)
1000 Locust Street
Reno, NV 89502

Shortcomings of coronary angiography

(SEPTEMBER 1999)

TO THE EDITOR: I enjoyed reading Dr. Nissen's excellent article on the shortcomings of coronary angiography and their implications in clinical practice in the September issue of the *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*.¹ To the reasons enumerated by Dr. Nissen why angiography does not measure coronary artery disease accurately, I wish to add another.

As Dr. Nissen mentioned, coronary angiography provides a two-dimensional silhouette of a three-dimensional structure. Actually, it gives a one-dimensional measurement of a two-dimensional structure. In other words, what the angiographer sees on the angiogram is the diameter of the vessel, not the cross-sectional area of the vessel lumen: $A = \pi r^2$, where A is the luminal area of the vessel, π equals 22/7, and r is the radius of the vessel. Thus, a 50% narrowing of the vessel diameter on the angiogram corresponds to a 75% reduction of the luminal area of the coronary artery, and a 75% narrowing of the vessel diameter corresponds to a 95% reduction of the luminal area (FIGURE 1). Consequently coronary angiography always underestimates the severity of the coronary artery obstructive disease.

TSUNG O. CHENG, MD
Professor of Medicine
The George Washington
University Medical Center
Washington, DC

REFERENCES

1. Nissen SE. Shortcomings of coronary angiography and their implications in clinical practice. *Cleve Clin J Med* 1999; 66:479-485.

TO THE EDITOR: Dr. Nissen's article on "Shortcomings of coronary angiography and their implications in clinical practice"¹ was interesting and correctly pointed out the physiologic limitations of angiography. However, FIGURE 4 in that article represents coronary flow reserve data from the dog model published approximately 10 years ago. This data is not for human coronary arteries, which is represented by a quadratic function (FIGURE 2). This was work published

Coronary angiography always underestimates the severity of coronary obstruction




FIGURE 1. Diagrammatic representation of the relation between longitudinal narrowing (diameter reduction) as seen on coronary angiography and cross-sectional area reduction as seen on histologic examination of a coronary artery. A coronary arterial segment with a 50% longitudinal width narrowing has a 75% reduction in cross-sectional area; a 75% reduction in longitudinal width corresponds to a 95% reduction in cross-sectional area.

FROM ARNETT EN, ISNER JM, REDWOOD DR, KENT KM, BAKER WP, ACKERSTEIN H, ROBERTS WC. CORONARY ARTERY NARROWING IN CORONARY HEART DISEASE: COMPARISON OF CINEANGIOGRAPHIC AND NECROPSY FINDINGS. *ANN INTERN MED* 1979; 91:350-356; REPRODUCED WITH PERMISSION.

by my group in the October 1994 issue of *Angiology*.^{2,3} The specific flow reserve equation is now published in the *Textbook of Angiology*.⁴

Dr. Nissen is correct that "a small difference in stenosis can make a big difference in symptoms," and the visual interpretation of percent diameter stenosis is frequently misrepresented by angiographers, as we note in two other publications.^{5,6}

I believe it would be beneficial both for the author and your reading audience to be aware of these papers and their findings.

RICHARD M. FLEMING, MD
Fleming Heart and Health Institute
Omaha, Nebraska

■ REFERENCES

1. Nissen SE. Shortcomings of coronary angiography and their implications in clinical practice. *Cleve Clin J Med* 1999; 66:479-485.
2. Fleming RM, Harrington GM, Gibbs HR, Swafford J. Quantitative coronary arteriography and its assessment of atherosclerosis. Part I. Examining the independent variables. *Angiology* 1994; 45:829-834.
3. Fleming RM, Harrington GM. Quantitative coronary arteriography and its assessment of atherosclerosis. Part II. Calculating stenosis flow reserve from percent diameter stenosis. *Angiology* 1994; 45:835-840.
4. Fleming RM. Atherosclerosis; Understanding the relationship between coronary artery disease and stenosis flow reserve. In: Chang JB, editor. *Textbook of Angiology*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2000:381-387.
5. Fleming RM, Kirkeeide RL, Smalling RW, Gould KL. Patterns in visual interpretation of coronary arteriograms as detected by quantitative coronary angiography. *JACC* 1991; 18:945-951.
6. Fleming RM, Fleming DM, Gaede R. Training physicians and health care providers to accurately read coronary arteriograms. A training program. *Angiology* 1996; 47:349-359.

Coronary flow reserve begins to decline at a percent stenosis of 15%-20%




FIGURE 2. Comparison of percent diameter stenosis with stenosis flow reserve in 1,040 coronary artery lesions in humans.

FROM FLEMING RM, HARRINGTON GM, GIBBS HR, SWAFFORD J. QUANTITATIVE CORONARY ARTERIOGRAPHY AND ITS ASSESSMENT OF ATHEROSCLEROSIS. PART I. EXAMINING THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES. *ANGIOLOGY* 1994; 45:829-834.

We Welcome Your Letters

WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO WRITE, either to respond to an article published in the *Journal* or to address a clinical issue of importance to you. You may submit letters by mail, fax, or e-mail.

MAILING ADDRESS

Letters to the Editor
Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine
9500 Euclid Ave., NA32
Cleveland, OH 44195
FAX 216.444.9385
E-MAIL ccjm@ccf.org

DEDICATED TO Lifelong Learning
**CLEVELAND
CLINIC
JOURNAL OF
MEDICINE**

Please be sure to include your full address, phone number, fax number, and e-mail address. Please write concisely, as space is limited. Letters may be edited for style and length. We cannot return materials sent. Submission of a letter constitutes permission for the *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* to publish it in various editions and forms.